Rule 16b Planning Conference Report Format

United States District Court

For The Northern District of Illinois **Eastern Division**

Arthur Guerrero , co-special administrar of the estate of ELOISA GUERRERO **Plaintiff**

Case Number: 08 CV 3542

Defendant

DONALD WELLS and White LINE EXPRESS

Judge: COAC

REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING CONFERENCE

Pursuant to this court's order, Danit (D' Conner representing plaintiff(s), and Richard Schumacker representing the defendant(s), met on 8/14/68 pursuant to Rule 26 (f) to discuss:

- (1) the nature and basis of their claims and defenses;
- (2) the possibilities for a prompt settlement or resolution of this case;
- (3) to make or arrange for the disclosures required under Rule 26(a)(1); and
- (4) to develop a discovery plan.

To that end, the parties propose the following:

- A. The issues in this case may be simplified by taking the following steps:
 - Reassign 08 CV 3542 and 08 CV 3622 based on Relatedness
 - 2. Complete a scheduling order for all matters
 - 3. Coordinate discovery Fact + Expert
 4. Prompt compliance with written discovery

5.

B. The following modifications to the discovery requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Local Rules should be made in order to expedite discovery:
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
C. Discovery will be needed on the following subjects:
1. Plaintiff's injuries
2. Defendant White Line Express, Ltd. , personand
3. Decedent, Donald Wells, Medical Records
4. Expert witnesser

occurrence, facts and Witnesses

5.

E. Discovery is likely to be contentious and management of discovery should be referred to the Magistrate Judge. Yes No
F. The parties consent/do not consent to this matter being referred to the Magistrate Judge for final disposition.
G. The parties have discussed the possibility of alternative dispute resolution and concluded:
H. The parties have discussed a prompt settlement or other resolution of this matter. The plaintiff has made a written demand of \$, and the defendant has offered \$ I. The Court should consider the following methods of expediting the resolution of this matter:

Document 13

Filed 08/14/2008

Page 3 of 5

08/14/2008 16:05 FAX 13123723833 08/14/2008 11:52 FAX 1 312 527 2696

PATTON & RYAN LLC

② 0004/0006 ② 004/006

Page 3 of 3

Rule 16b Planning Conference Report Format

Judge Coar United States District Judge

Format revised: March 15, 1999

Rule 16b Scheduling Order Format

United States District Court

For The Northern District of Illinois **Eastern Division**

Arthur Guerrerof Cynthia Uribe, as nother of Cynthia Guerrero, minor grandanquer of Elosia Guerrero ad Glenda wright: as co-administrators of estate Plaintiff ELOISA GUERAERO

Case Number: 08 W 3542

Defendant Donald Wills and White Line Express. 1+1

Judge: Coar

PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER

1. Discovery

The following time limits and deadlines shall be applicable.

- A. All disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) shall be made on or before 9.3e. e. 8
- B. Any amendments to pleadings or actions to join other parties shall be filed on or before 12-29-08.

(It is recommended that any joinder or amendments be made early in the discovery process so as to avoid the need to prolong discovery as to new parties or new issues.)

- C. The cutoff of fact discovery is $3 \lambda \zeta \cdot \epsilon Q$.
- D. The parties shall disclose expert testimony pursuant to Rule 26(a)(2) on or before 5.25.09.
- E. The parties may depose the other side's expert at any time prior to 9.25.29.
- F. The parties shall disclose any rebuttal expert pursuant to Rule 26(a)(2)(c) at any time prior to 9-25.09.
- G. The parties shall have until 1/-25.09 to depose the opposing party's rebuttal expert.

2. Motions

Judge Coar
United States District Judge

Dated:

Format revised: March 15, 1999